arXiv:alg-geom/9710005v3 1 Dec 1998

LADDERS ON FANO VARIETIES

Florin Ambro

Dedicated to Professor V. A. Iskovskikh on his 60th birthday

Abstract. We prove the existence of ladders on log Fano varieties of coindex less than 4, as an application of adjunction and nonvanishing.

1. Introduction

This paper is related to the following

Good Divisor Problem. *Construct a regular ladder for a nef and big Cartier divisor H on a normal variety X. This means to find a "good" element $S \in |H|$ and then repeat for $(S, H|_S)$. Note that $H|_S$ is still nef and big.*

A "good" member means here an irreducible reduced normal variety having singularities close to its ambient space. For example, they are both in the same class of singularities (log terminal, canonical, terminal, ϵ-terminal, etc). In this paper we find sufficient conditions for the existence of such divisors in the class of Kawamata log terminal singularities (klt). The main result is (see Section 2 for definitions and notations):

Main Theorem. *Let H be a nef and big Cartier divisor on a normal variety X of dimension n. Assume there is a boundary B_X on X such that*

(X, B_X) is klt,

$-(K_X + B_X) \equiv (n - r + 1)H, n - r + 1 > 0$,

$r < 4$.

Then $dim|H| \geq n - 1$ and $|H|$ does not have fixed components. Moreover, $(X, B_X + S)$ is a pure log terminal pair for the general $S \in |H|$.

In particular, $(S, B_S = B_X|_S)$ is a weak log Fano with $co(H|_S) = co(H) = r$ if $n > r$, a log CY if $n = r$ and a weak log general type if $n < r < n + 1$.

A weak log Fano (CY, or general type) variety is a log pair (X, B_X) with klt singularites such that $-(K_X + B_X)$ is nef and big ($K_X + B_X \equiv 0$, or $K_X + B_X$ is nef and big). Note that the second condition of the theorem is equivalent to

$$H \equiv K_X + B_X + tH, t = n - r + 2 > 1$$

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Iterating the process, H gives rise to a regular pure log terminal (plt) ladder

$$(X,H)=(X_n,H_n)>(X_{n-1},H_{n-1})>\cdots>(X_c,H_c),\quad c=\lfloor r\rfloor-1$$

i.e. a regular ladder in the usual sense such that there are boundaries B_i on X_i with (X_i,B_i+X_{i-1}) plt, $B_{i-1}=B_i|_{X_{i-1}}$ and $-(K_{X_j}+B_j)\equiv(j-r+1)H_i$.

All members, except the last one, are weak Fanos and the coindex of H_j is constant on the ladder.

Note that the coindex of the weak Fanos on the ladder might decrease, which is actually better, since the geometry of Fanos is simpler for smaller coindex. For example the only Fano variety of coindex 0 is the projective space. Moreover, this Fano ladders are maximal: the last member is non Fano.

Corollary. *Let H be a Cartier divisor of coindex $r<4$ on a weak log Fano (X,B_X) and $S\in|H|$ a general element.*

1) If $0<r<3$, then S is smooth in base points. The base locus is at most a finite set of points.

2) If $3\le r<4$, then $dimBsl|H|\le 1$, S is smooth in the base curves (if any) and it has only isolated singularities in the base locus. Moreover, if X is smooth in $Bsl|H|$, then S is smooth in $Bsl|H|$ for $n\ge 4$ and it might have (exactly) canonical double points for $n=3$.

The main techniques are the Adjunction Conjecture, Kawamata's log canonical centers and the relation between dimension of log centers and discrepancies (see [He], [Me1] and also Lemma 5.3). The main theorem follows from the Adjunction Conjecture and the effective nonvanishing on weak Fanos and log-surfaces we prove in Section 3 and 4. In a previous draft we extended a trick from [Me] to reduce the problem to the cases were adjunction was already proven. Kawamata's new Theorem 2.4[Ka3] shows actually that the good divisor problem is implied by adjunction and nonvanishing.
As a corollary, we obtain a unified treatment of some results of Alexeev [Al], Prokhorov [Pro], Mella [Me1, Me2], Reid [Re] and Shokurov [Sh1]. I would like to mention Mella's [Me1] and Prokhorov's [Pro] papers, which I found very inspiring.

I would like to thank Professor Kawamata for updating me with his latest result on the Adjunction Conjecture. I am grateful to Professor Shokurov for his guidance and his valuable suggestions, and for allowing me to include his unpublished theorem in the Appendix. The notion of log canonical singularity (LCS) subscheme is also from his lecture notes.

2. Background

The ground field is assumed of characteristic 0. A *variety* X means an irreducible, reduced, normal scheme of finite type over k. A *(sub)boundary* $B_X=\sum d_iE_i$ on X is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor with $(d_i\le 1,\forall i)$ $0\le d_i\le 1,\forall i$. A (sub)boundary is called strict if $d_i<1,\forall i$. A *log pair* (X,B_X) is a variety X equipped with a boundary B_X such that K_X+B_X is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X.

2.1. Shokurov's log canonical singularity subscheme.

Fix a log pair (X, B_X). For a log resolution $f : Y \to X$, let B^Y on Y such that $K_Y + B^Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + B_X)$. Define

$$\mathcal{I}(X, B_X) = f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil -B^Y \rceil).$$

Then $\mathcal{I}(X, B_X)$ is an ideal sheaf on X, independent of the choice of log resolution. The associated subscheme of X, denoted $\mathcal{L}(X, B_X)$ is called the *log canonical singularity (LCS) subscheme associated to* (X, B_X). We also define the *locus of log canonical singularities of* (X, B_X) to be $LCS(X, B_X) = Supp\mathcal{L}(X, B_X)$.

2.2. Singularities.

lc The pair (X, B_X) is called *log canonical* (denoted lc) if B^Y is a subboundary for any resolution $Y \to X$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}(X, B_X)$ is a reduced scheme.

klt The pair (X, B_X) is called *Kawamata log terminal* (denoted klt) if B^Y is a strict suboundary for any resolution.

plt The pair (X, B_X) is called *pure log terminal* (denoted plt) if it is log canonical and Kawamata log terminal in codimension bigger than 1 (this is equivalent to the fact that B^Y is a subboundary with all reduced components nonexceptional, for any resolution $f : Y \to X$).

Definition. *If* (X, B_X) *is log canonical and* D *is a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier effective divisor, we define the log canonical threshold of* D*, denoted* $lct(D; X, B_X)$*, to be the maximal* $\gamma \geq 0$ *such that* $(X, B_X + \gamma D)$ *is log canonical. Note that* $lct(D; X, B_X) \leq 1$ *if* D *is a nonzero integer divisor.*

2.3. Log canonical centers [Ka1].

We call any irreducible component E of $\lceil -B^Y \rceil$ a *log canonical place (lc place)* and $center_X(E) = f(E)$ is called a *center of log canonicity (lc center)*. We denote by $CLC(X, B_X)$ the set of all centers of log canonicity.

Proposition. [Ka1] *Let* (X, B_X^o) *be a klt pair and* $B_X > B_X^o$ *an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier divisor such that* (X, B_X) *is log canonical. Then*

i) $CLC(X, B_X)$ *is a finite set closed under intersection:*
if $W_1, W_2 \in CLC(X, B_X)$ *then the same holds for any irreducible component of* $W_1 \cap W_2$.

ii) There are minimal elements of $CLC(X, B_X)$*, called minimal log canonical centers. They are normal varieties.*

Remark. *Let* (X, B_X) *be a klt pair,* H *be a nef and big Cartier divisor on* X*, and* $D \equiv \gamma H$ *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier divisor such that* $(X, B_X + D)$ *is log canonical with* $Z \in CLC(X, B_X + D)$ *a minimal lc center. Then, for any* $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$*,* D *can be perturbed to a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor* D' *such that* $D' \equiv (\gamma + \epsilon)H$*,* $CLC(X, B_X + D') = \{Z\}$ *and there is just one log canonical place over* Z *(for a given resolution).*

Indeed, this can be obtained taking $D' = (1 - \epsilon)D + \delta a M$*, where* $M \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} H$.

2.4. Adjunction.

The Adjunction Conjecture. *Let* (X, B^o_X) *be a klt pair and* $B_X > B^o_X$ *an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier divisor such that* (X, B_X) *is log canonical. Then given a minimal log canonical center* W *in* $CLC(X, B_X)$*, there is an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier divisor* B_W *on* W *such that*

i) (W, B_W) *is klt,*

ii)$(K_X + B_X)|_W \equiv K_W + B_W$.

Remark. *This is a weak form of the Adjunction Theorem which was proved in several cases by Kawamata (* [Ka1], [Ka2]*): codW* ≤ 2 *or dimW* $= 2$.

The latest result, which after a small perturbation is the above conjecture, can substitute the adjunction formula in this paper (see Lemma 5.3).

Theorem 1. [Ka3] *Let* (X, B^o_X) *be a klt pair and* $B_X > B^o_X$ *an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier divisor such that* (X, B_X) *is log canonical. Let* W *be a minimal center of log canonical singularities for* (X, B_X)*. Let* H *be an ample Cartier divisor on* X *and* ϵ *a rational positive number. Then there is an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier divisor* B_W *on* W *such that*

i) (W, B_W) *is klt,*

ii)$(K_X + B_X + \epsilon H)|_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_W + B_W$.

In particular, W *has only rational singularities.*

2.5. Ladders [Fu]**.** Let V be an n-fold polarized by an ample line bundle L. A sequence

$$(V, L) = (V_n, L_n) > (V_{n-1}, L_{n-1}) > \cdots > (V_c, L_c)$$

is called a *ladder* if each V_{j-1} ($j = c+1, \ldots, n$) is an irreducible and reduced member of $|L_j|$, where L_j is the restriction of L to V_j. The ladder is called *regular* if each restriction map $r : H^0(V_j, L_j) \to H^0(V_{j-1}, L_{j-1})$ is surjective.
The existence of the above regular ladder implies that the base locus of $|L|$ will coincide with the base locus of $|L_c|$, hence it has dimension at most $c - 1$.

2.6.(Weak) Fano varieties.

A (weak) log Fano variety is a klt pair (X, B_X) such that $-(K_X + B_X)$ is (nef and big) ample. In particular, $Pic(X)$ is torsion free, so any Cartier divisor H with $-(K_X + B_X) \equiv tH, t > 0$ is uniquely (up to linear equivalence) determined by t. We call t the *numerical index* of H and denote it *index* $=$ *ind*(H). It is known that $0 < t \leq n + 1$, where $n = dimX$ (see [Sh2], for example). It turns out that a natural invariant for ladders will be the *numerical coindex of* H, defined as $co(H) = n + 1 - ind(H)$. The *index (coindex) of* X is defined as the minimum (maximum) index (coindex) over all Cartier divisors numerically proportional with $-(K_X + B_X)$. Note that $0 \leq co(H) < n + 1$.

Remark. *It is possible to extend general properties from log Fano to weak log Fano varieties. Indeed, given a weak log Fano* (X, B_X) *and* H *Cartier of coindex*

r, there is a birational contraction $\mu : (X, B_X) \to (Y, B_Y)$ and H_Y ample Cartier on Y such that

a) $H = \mu^*(H_Y)$,

b) (Y, B_Y) is a log Fano and H_Y has coindex r,

c) $K_X + B_X = \mu^*(K_Y + B_Y)$.

This is a consequence of the Contraction Theorem [KMM]. *Note also that if $S \in |H|, S_Y \in |H_Y|$ with $S = \mu^* S_Y$, then $lct(S; X, B_X) = lct(S_Y; Y, B_Y)$ and the log canonical centers on Y are images of log canonical centers on X. Moreover, $Bsl|H| = \mu^{-1}(Bsl|H_Y|)$.*

3. Nonvanishing on Fano varieties

In this section, (X, B_X) is a weak log Fano variety of dimension n and H is a nef and big Cartier divisor such that $-(K_X + B_X) \equiv ind(H)H, ind(H) > 0$. We will compute $h^0(H) = dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))$ in some cases.

Notations.

$r = co(H) = n - ind(H) + 1, d = H^n \geq 1, \delta = B_X.H^{n-1} \geq 0$

$p(t) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(tH)) = a_n t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$

$a_n = \frac{d}{n!}$, $a_{n-1} = \frac{-K_X.H^{n-1}}{2\ (n-1)!} = \frac{ind(H)d+\delta}{2\ (n-1)!}$.

$p_j = (-1)^n \chi(-jH) = h^0(K_X + jH) \geq 0$ *(from Serre duality and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing)*

Since $tH \equiv (K_X + B_X) + (t + n - r + 1)H$, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing [KMM] implies $p(t) = h^0(tH)$ for $t \geq -(n - \lfloor r \rfloor)$. In particular, any integer $-(n - \lfloor r \rfloor) \leq t < 0$ is a zero of p, and $p(0) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$.
Since (X, B_X) is klt, X has rational singularities [KMM], so Serre duality applies.

Lemma 1. *If $n \leq 2$, then $dim|H| > 0$.*

i) The only log Fano curve is $\mathbb{P}^1$ (with boundary), and $dim|H| = d$.

ii) On a log Del Pezzo we have $dim|H| = \frac{(4-r)d+\delta}{2}$.

Proof. The first part is obvious. In the case of a log Del Pezzo, we know the Riemann Roch formula

$$p(t) = (d/2)t^2 + (-K_X H/2)t + 1.$$

and $h^0(H) = p(1)$, so we are done. □

Lemma 2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then $dim|H| > 0$ if $r = co(H) < 4$. More precisely,*

i) If $\lfloor r \rfloor \leq 2$, then $h^0(H) = n - 1 + \frac{d(4-r)+\delta}{2}$.

ii)If $\lfloor r \rfloor = 3$, then $h^0(H) = n - 1 + p_{n-2} + \frac{d(4-r)+\delta}{2}$.

iii) If $\lfloor r \rfloor = 4$, then $h^0(H) = (1 - p_{n-3})(n-1) + p_{n-2} + \frac{d(4-r)+\delta}{2}$.

Remark. *Note that* $d(4-r)+\delta = -(K_X+(n-3)H.H^{n-1})$. *In particular, if* $d(4-r)+\delta > 0$, *then* $p_{n-3}=0$.

Proof.

i)(from [Al]). We have

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= \frac{d}{n!}(t+1)\cdots(t+n-2)(t^2+at+\frac{n(n-1)}{d}) \\ &= \frac{d}{n!}t^n + \frac{d}{n!}(a+\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2})t^{n-1}+\dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a=-1+\frac{n(d(4-r)+\delta)}{2d}$ and $h^0(H)=n-1+\frac{d(4-r)+\delta}{2}$.

ii)(cf. [Pro] for $n=r=3$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= \frac{d}{n!}(t+1)\cdots(t+n-3)(t^3+at^2+bt+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{d}) \\ &= \frac{d}{n!}t^n + \frac{d}{n!}(a+\frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2})t^{n-1}+\dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$a = \frac{(6-r)n}{2} - 3 + \frac{\delta n}{2d}.$$

Now,

$$p_{n-2} = (-1)^n p(-n+2) \ = -1 + \frac{d}{n(n-1)}((n-2)^2 - a(n-2) + b),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(H) &= n-2+\frac{d}{n(n-1)}(1+(n-1)a-(n-2)^2) + p_{n-2} + 1 \\ &= n-1+p_{n-2}+\frac{d(4-r)+\delta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= \frac{d}{n!}(t+1)\cdots(t+n-4)(t^4+at^3+bt^2+ct+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{d}) \\ &= \frac{d}{n!}t^n + \frac{d}{n!}(a+\frac{(n-4)(n-3)}{2})t^{n-1}+\dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a=-6+\frac{n(d(8-r)+\delta)}{2d}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} p(1) &= n-3+\frac{d}{n(n-1)(n-2)}(1+a+b+c) \\ p_{n-3} &= 1+\frac{d}{n(n-1)(n-2)}[(n-3)^3 - a(n-3)^2 + b(n-3) - c] \\ p_{n-2} &= n-3+\frac{d}{n(n-1)}[(n-2)^3 - a(n-2)^2 + b(n-2) - c] \end{aligned}$$

We use the identity $(n-2)[b(n-2)-c]-(n-1)[b(n-3)-c]=b+c$, and get

$$b+c=(n-1)(n-3)^3-(n-2)^4-a[(n-1)(n-3)^2-(n-2)^3]$$
$$-\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{d}[(n-1)(p_{n-3}-1)-p_{n-2}+n-3],$$

hence

$$p(1)=(n-1)(1-p_{n-3})+p_{n-2}+\frac{d}{n}[-2(n-3)+a]$$
$$=(n-1)(1-p_{n-3})+p_{n-2}+\frac{d(4-r)+\delta}{2}.$$

□

Remark. *We don't know if* $\dim|H|>0$*, or even if* $h^0(H)>0$ *for all coindex* 4 *divisors* H *on a (weak) log Fano (hence* $n\geq 4$*).*

In this case, $h^0(H)=(n-1)(1-p_{n-3})+p_{n-2}+\frac{\delta}{2}$*, and since* $-\delta=(K_X+(n-3)H.H^{n-1})$*, we have* $p_{n-3}=0$ *unless* $\delta=B_X.H^{n-1}=0$*. Therefore*

a) On a weak log Fano n-fold, a nef and big Cartier divisor H *of coindex* 4 *such that* $B_X.H^{n-1}>0$ *has* $\dim|H|\geq n-2$*.*

b) On a log Fano n-fold, an ample H *of coindex* 4 *has* $\dim|H|\geq n-2$*, unless* $-K_X\sim(n-3)H, B_X=0$*.*

Let's assume X *is an exception as in b) above. From duality,* $p(t)=p(-t-n+3)$*, which is equivalent to* n *even and there is* $\alpha\in\mathbb{Q}$ *such that the residual polynomial of degree* 4 *appearing in the expression of* $p(t)$ *has the form*

$$t^4+2(n-3)t^3+\alpha t^2+[(n-3)\alpha-(n-3)^3]t+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{d}.$$

Assuming now that X *is a* 4*-fold , we get*

$$p(t)=p_k(t)=\frac{d}{4!}t(t+1)(t-1)(t+2)+\frac{k}{2}t(t+1)+1, k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}.$$

We have $p_{-1}(1)=0, p_0(1)=1$ *and* $p_k(1)\geq 2, \forall k\geq 1$*. Therefore, if* p_{-1} *can be realized on a* 4*-fold, there would be amples of coindex* 4 *with* $|H|=\emptyset$*! It would be very interesting to find Fano* 4*-folds like this, or to show that they cannot exist!*

4. Effective Nonvanishing on Surfaces

The Nonvanishing Problem. *Let* (X,B_X) *be a projective pair with Kawamata log terminal singularities,* D *a Cartier nef divisor and* H *a nef and big* $\mathbb{R}$*-divisor on* X *such that*

$$D\equiv K_X+B_X+H.$$

Is it true that $H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D))\neq 0$*? If the answer is affirmative, we say that nonvanishing holds.*

It follows from the Riemann-Roch formula that nonvanishing holds on curves. In the sequel we give sufficient conditions for nonvanishing to hold on surfaces. The main ingredient is the Riemann-Roch formula again (i.e. explicit description of the coefficients), so this approach does not generalize.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (X, B_X) be a projective log-surface with Kawamata log terminal singularities, D a Cartier nef divisor and H a nef and big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X such that $D \equiv K_X + B_X + H$.*

1) If $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 0$, then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \neq 0$.

2) If $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$, then X is birationally ruled and let F be the general member of the ruling. Then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \neq 0$ if one of the following holds:

2a) $HF > 1$, or

2b) $H \equiv cD$ and $c > \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark. *The assumption on singularities is used for Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing only. The case 2a) covers the absolute case, i.e. $B_X = 0$, since $HF = DF + 2 - B_X F > 1$ if $B_X F < 1$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\pi : S \to C$ be a fibration from a nonsingular surface S to the nonsingular curve S such that the general fiber F is a smooth rational curve. Let H be a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on S such that $HF = 1$. Then*

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq -\frac{1}{2}H(H + K_S).$$

and equality holds if π is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

Proof of Proposition 4.1.

By Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing, we have $H^j(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0 \ \forall j > 0$, so Riemann-Roch gives

$$h^0(D) = dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \frac{1}{2}D(H + B_X) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

1) If $DH = 0$, then since D is nef and H is big, we have $D \equiv 0$, so (X, B_X) is a log Fano and $h^0(D) = 1$. Therefore we can assume $DH > 0$, so 1) follows.

2) Assume now $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$. Blowing-up X we can assume from that X admits a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration $\pi : X \to C$ over a curve.

2a) Let F be the general fiber and $a = HF$, which is positive, since H is big. We apply Lemma for $\frac{1}{a}H$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(D) &\geq \frac{1}{2}D(H + B_X) - \frac{1}{2a^2}(H^2 + aHK_X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2a^2}(H + aD)((a-1)H + aB_X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h^0(D) \geq \frac{a-1}{2a^2}H^2 > 0$ if $HF > 1$, so 2a) follows.

2b) Assume now that $DF = a > 0$. Applying Lemma for $\frac{1}{a}D$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(D) &\geq \frac{1}{2}D(D - K_X) - \frac{1}{2a^2}(D^2 + aDK_X) \\ &= \frac{a+1}{2a}D((1 - \frac{1}{a})D - K_X) \\ &= \frac{a+1}{2a}D(-\frac{1}{a}D + H + B_X). \end{aligned}$$

If $H \equiv cD, c > \frac{1}{2}$ then $-\frac{1}{a}D + H + B_X \equiv (c - \frac{1}{a})D + B_X$, so nonvanishing holds if $c - \frac{1}{a} > 0$. Otherwise, $a \leq \frac{1}{c} < 2$, so $a = DF = 1$.
We prove nonvanishing in this case by reducing the problem to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a curve. Let $\pi = \pi_0 \circ \mu$ be the factorization of π as in Lemma 4.2.

Step 1. There is a nef and big Cartier divisor D_T on T such that $\mu^*(D_T) = D$ and $(1-c)D_T \equiv K_T + B_T$, where (T, B_T) is klt again (we just need the effectiveness of B_T).

Indeed, after a finite number of Castelnouvo contractions, we can assume that D is positive on rational (-1)-curves of X. We claim that this implies $X = T$. If not, π will have at least a singular fiber, say $\pi^*(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} m_i E_i, P \in C$, where all E_i's are smooth rational negative curves, and one of them, E_0 say, is a (-1)-curve. But $1 = DF = \sum_{i=0}^{s} m_i DE_i$, and since $DE_0 > 0$, we get $m_0 = 1, DE_i = 0, \forall i \geq 1$. Therefore E_0 is the only one (-1)-curve in $\pi^*(P)$, which implies $K_X E_i \geq 0 \forall i \geq 1$. Hence $-2 = K_X F = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i K_X E_i \geq -1$ gives the contradiction.

Step 2. Suffices to prove nonvanishing on T, so we assume $X = T$ and $D = D_T$. Let $D \equiv C_0 + bF$, where we use the same notations as in Lemma 4.2. We assume $h^0(D) = 0$ in order to get a contradiction.
Since $DF = 1$, Lemma 4.2 gives $h^0(D) = -DK_X$, i.e. $e - 2b + 2(g-1) = 0$. Moreover, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \chi(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(D))) = -e + b + 2(1-g)$, so $e - b + 2(g-1) = 0$. Therefore $b = 0$. The inequalities $e \geq -g$ and $g \geq 2$ give us $g = 2, e = -2$.
Since $e = -2$, the cone of effective curves $NE(T)$ is included in $\{aC_0 + bF; a + b \geq 0\}$ [Ha]. But $B_X \equiv (1-c)D - K_X \equiv (3-c)C_0 - 4F$, which contradicts the effectiveness of B_X.

□

Proof of Lemma 4.2. From the classification of surfaces, it follows that $\pi = \pi_0 \circ \mu$, where $\pi_0 : T \to C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and $\mu : S \to T$ is a composition of blow-ups in nonsingular points. We prove the inequality by induction on $\varrho(S/T)$, the number of blow-ups.

If $\varrho(S/T) = 0$, then equality holds. Indeed, let C_0 be the minimal section, $C_0^2 = -e, g = g(C)$ and let $H \equiv C_0 + bF$. The canonical divisor is $K_S \equiv -2C_0 + (2g - 2 - e)F$, hence $HK_S = 2(g-1) + e - 2b$ and $H^2 = -e + 2b$. Therefore $H^2 + HK_S = 2(g-1) = -2\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Assume now $\varrho(S/T) > 0$ and let $\nu : S \to S_1$ be the last blow-up of μ. Let E be the corresponding (-1)-curve on S and $\alpha = HE \geq 0$. Then there is a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor H_1 on S_1 such that $H + \alpha E = \nu^* H_1$ (note that $H_1 F = HF = 1$). Therefore $HK_S + H^2 = (\nu^* H_1 - \alpha E)(\nu^* K_{S_1} + E) + (\nu^* H_1 - \alpha E)^2 = H_1 K_{S_1} + H_1^2 + \alpha(1-\alpha)$. But E appears in a singular π-fiber, which is equivalent to F. Since H is nef, we get $HF \geq HE$, that is $1 \geq \alpha$.

Thus $HK_S + H^2 \geq H_1 K_{S_1} + H_1^2$, and the inductive step finishes the proof.
□

5. Proof of The main theorem

Lemma 5.1. *Let $|H|$ be a linear system on a klt log variety (X, B_X) such that*

$\dim|H| > 0$ and let $S \in |H|$ a general member. Then the log canonical threshold $\gamma = lct(S; X, B_X)$ is constant (maximal) for general S, and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.
1) If $\gamma \neq 1$, then $LCS(X, B_X + \gamma S) \subseteq Bsl|H|$.
2) If $\gamma = 1$, then one of the following holds:

(2.a) There is an lc center of $(X, B_X + S)$ included in $Bsl|H|$, or

(2.b) $(X, B_X + S)$ is pure log terminal and $|H|$ has no fixed components.

Moreover, in case (2.b), S is a (possibly disconnected) variety and (S, B_S) is a klt pair, where $B_S = B_X|_S$.

Proof. Resolving the singularities of X and the base locus of $|H|$, there is a log resolution $\mu : Y \to X$ such that

(i) $\mu^*(K_X + B_X) = K_Y + \mu^{-1}B_X + \sum a_j F_j$, with $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}, a_j < 1$,

(ii) $\mu^*|H| = |L| + \sum r_j F_j$, with $|L|$ base point free, $r_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_j \neq 0$ iff $\mu(F_j) \subset Bsl|H|$.

Take $S \in |H|$ a general member. Then $\mu^{-1}S = T + \sum_{F_j fixed\ cpnt} r_j F_j$, and T is smooth (possibly disconnected) from the first theorem of Bertini. Therefore

$$\mu^*(K_X + B_X + \gamma S) = K_Y + \mu^{-1}B_X + \gamma\mu^{-1}S$$
$$+ \sum_{F_j exc, \mu F_j \subseteq Bsl} (a_j + \gamma r_j)F_j + \sum_{F_j exc, \mu F_j \not\subseteq Bsl} a_j F_j.$$

It is clear that places of log canonicity for $(X, B_X + \gamma S)$ can be just T, fixed components, or exceptional F_j's over the base locus of $|H|$.

1) If $\gamma < 1$, then T cannot be an lc place, therefore all lc places lie over $Bsl|H|$.

2) If $\gamma = 1$, S is reduced and any fixed component would have multiplicity 1. Let us assume that there are no lc centers included in $Bsl|H|$. Then lc places are exactly the components of T, hence there are no fixed components. Finally, the strict transform $\mu_*^{-1}S = T$ is smooth, hence a disjoint union of components. Therefore (cf. [Sh3], pg. 99) (X, S) is plt.

Moreover, in case (2.b), every connected component of S is irreducible reduced, otherwise we find Z in $CLC(X, S)$ of codimension at least two at the intersection of two components, contradiction. Because S is the unique center of log canonicity for $(X, B_X + S)$, it is minimal, hence normal [Ka1]. Finally, $(X, B_X + S)$ implies that (S, B_S) is klt by the standard argument. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let (X, B_X) be a weak log Fano and let H a Cartier nef and big divisor with $-(K_X + B_X) \equiv ind(H)H$. Assume $(X, B_X + G)$ is log canonical with $LCS = LCS(X, B_X + G) \neq \emptyset$, and $G \equiv \gamma H$. Then for $t > -ind(H) + \gamma$ we have:*
i)$H^j(LCS, tH) = 0$, $\forall j > 0$,
ii) $H^0(X, tH) \longrightarrow H^0(LCS, tH|_{LCS}) \longrightarrow 0$.

Proof. We can write $tH \equiv K_X + B_X + G + (t + ind(H) - \gamma)H$, so Shokurov's Theorem and its corollary (Appendix) applies for $t > -ind(H) + \gamma$. For the same values, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing gives $H^i(X, tH) = 0$ $i > 0$, hence the lemma follows. □

Lemma 5.3. *In the same hypothesis as the previous lemma, assume that H is ample and there is a minimal log canonical center Z of $(X, B_X + G)$ which is included in $Bsl|H|$. Then*

1) Assume $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) \neq 0$ for any ample Cartier divisor H of coindex $r - (codZ - \gamma) + \epsilon (0 < \epsilon \ll 1)$ on a log Fano of dimension $dimZ$. Then

$$\gamma \geq ind(H) = n - r + 1.$$

2) If $dimZ \leq 1$, then $\gamma \geq ind(H) + 1$.

3)(cf. [Me1] *for $n = r = 3$) We have $\gamma \geq codZ - r + 2$.*

Proof. We show that Z is not in the base locus if the opposite (strict) inequalities hold. Perturbing G, we can assume that $CLC(X, B_X + G) = \{Z\}$ and that adjunction holds. In particular, $LCS(X, B_X + G) = Z$, so Lemma 5.2 gives

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(H)) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ if } \gamma < ind(H) + 1,$$

therefore is enough to prove $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(H)) \neq 0$. By adjunction formula, there is a boundary B_Z which makes (Z, B_Z) a klt pair such that

$$H|_Z \equiv (K_X + B_X + (ind(H) + 1)H)|_Z \equiv K_Z + B_Z + (ind(H) + 1 - \gamma)H|_Z.$$

Note that $(ind(H) + 1 - \gamma)H|_Z$ is ample on Z if $\gamma < ind(H) + 1$.

1) If $\gamma < ind(H)$, then (Z, B_Z) becomes a log Fano and H has coindex $co(H|_Z) = r - (codZ - \gamma) \leq r + \epsilon$, so we have nonvanishing by assumption.

2) Assume $\gamma < ind(H) + 1$. If Z is a point, then $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(H)) = k$, hence we're done. If $dim(Z) = 1$, then we know that nonvanishing holds for curves (Section 4), so we are done again.

3)(This argument works for H nef and big) Let $p(t) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Z(tH))$, which is a polynomial of degree at most $dimZ$, and assume $dimZ > 0$. If $\gamma < codZ - r + 2$, Lemma 5.2 gives $p(t) = h^0(Z, tH)$ for $t \geq -(dimZ - 1)$. In particular, $p(0) = 1$.

If $degp(t) < dimZ$, then, since it has at least $d - 1$ zeros, $p(t) \equiv 0$. Contradiction with $p(0) = 1$.

If $degp(t) = dimZ$, then $p(t)$ has $d - 1$ negative zeros $-1, -2, \ldots, -(d-1)$, and $p(0) = 1$, hence $p(1) > 0$.

□

Proof of the Main Theorem. Since $r < 4$, we have $dim|H| > 0$ from Section 3 and let $S \in |H|$ be a general element, and $\gamma = lct(S; X, B_X), 0 < \gamma \leq 1$. By Lemma 5.1, it is enough to prove that $(X, B_X + \gamma S)$ has no centers of log canonicity included in $Bsl|H|$.

Let us assume Z is such a (minimal) lc center included in $Bsl|H|$ and derive a contradiction. We can also assume that H ample by the Remark 2.6.

Since $\gamma < ind(H) + 1$, the exact restriction sequence and the adjunction formula from the proof of Lemma 5.3 hold true. From Section 3 again, the assumptions of 5.3.1) are satisfied, so

$$ind(H) \leq \gamma.$$

This implies $n - r + 1 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, hence $n \leq r < 4$. Therefore $dim(X) \leq 3$, so $dim(Z) \leq 2$. By 5.3.2), this implies $dim(X) = 3, dim(Z) = 2$. But then Z will be a fixed component of $|H|$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{m}$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is the multiplicity of Z in $|H|$.

If $m \geq 2$, then $ind(H)+1-\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$, so Proposition 4.1.2b) gives $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) \neq 0$. Contradiction.

If $m = 1$, then $(X, B_X + S)$ is exactly log canonical. Moreover, $S \neq Z$ since $dim|H| > 0$, and S is connected since H is ample. Therefore Z intersects another component of S, which contradicts the minimality of the lc center Z.

The existence of the ladder is clear. It is regular because $-(K_{X_j} + B_{X_j})$ $(j > c)$ is nef and big, so $H^1(X_j, \mathcal{O}_{X_j}) = 0$ by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing. Note also that $B_{X_{j-1}} = B_{X_j}|_{X_{j-1}}$ is well defined: B_{X_j} and X_{j-1} have no common components, since $(X_j, B_{X_j} + X_{j-1})$ is plt. □

Proof of Corollary. The only nontrivial part is the preservation of smoothness. Let $X > S > \cdots > X_2$ be the Fano ladder and assume P is an isolated singularity of X_2. Let E be the exceptional divisor on the blow-up of X in P. Then

$$a(P, X) = a(P, X_2) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} m(P; X_i) > (n-2)m(P; S),$$

where $a(P, X)$ denotes the log discrepancy of X in P and $m(P; S)$ is the multiplicity of S in P. But $a(P; X) = n$, hence $m(P; S) < 1 + \frac{2}{n-2}$. If $n \geq 4$, we get $m(P; S) = 1$, so we are done. If $n = 3$, the only nonsmooth case is when $a(P; S) = 1, m(P; S) = 2$, i.e $P \in S$ is a canonical double point. □

6. Appendix

The following is an exposition of an unpublished result of V. Shokurov. Let (X, B_X) be a log pair and denote $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(X, B_X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X, B_X)$ the log canonical singularity subscheme with support $LCS(X, B_X)$. Note that $\mathcal{L}(X, B_X) = LCS(X, B_X)$ if (X, B_X) is log canonical. Let D be a Cartier divisor on X. We have the following two exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(X,B_X)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(X,B_X)}(D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Theorem. *(V. Shokurov) Let (X, B_X) be a log pair, and let D be a Cartier divisor and H a nef and big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that*

$$D \equiv K_X + B_X + H.$$

Then $H^j(X, \mathcal{I}(D)) = 0, \forall j > 0$.

Proof. Let $f : (Y, B^Y) \to (X, B_X)$ be a log resolution. Then $f^*D \equiv K_Y + B^Y + f^*H$ and f^*H is nef and big on Y. We use now the Grothendieck spectral sequence associated to $Y \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{\pi} pt$:

$$R^p\pi_*(R^q f_* \mathcal{F}) \Longrightarrow R^{p+q}(\pi f)_*\mathcal{F},$$

for $\mathcal{F} = f^*D + \lceil -B^Y \rceil$. By Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing, $R^i f_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0 \forall i > 0$, so the spectral sequence degenerates. Moreover, $R^0 f_*(\mathcal{F}) = f_*(\lceil -B^Y \rceil) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{I}(D)$. Therefore

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{I}(D)) \simeq H^i(Y, \mathcal{F}) \forall i,$$

while $H^i(Y, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \forall i > 0$ by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing again. □

The short exact sequence gives the following extension of Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing:

Corollary. *In the same hypothesis as above, assume (X, B_X) is a log canonical pair, and let $Y = LCS(X, B_X)$. Then the canonical map*

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D))$$

is surjective and

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \simeq H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D))$$

is an isomorphism for any $i > 0$.

References

[Al] V. Alexeev, *Theorem about good divisors on log Fano varieties*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1479** (1991), 1–9.

[Fu] T. Fujita, *Classification theories of polarized varieties*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, **155** (1990).

[Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg **52** (1977).

[He] S. Helmke, *On Fujita's conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **88:2,** (1997), 201–216.

[Ka1] Y. Kawamata, *On Fujita's freeness conjecture for* 3*-folds and* 4*-folds*, preprint (1996).

[Ka2] ———, *Subadjunction of log canonical divisors for a subvariety of codimension* 2, preprint (1996).

[Ka3] ———, *Subadjunction of log canonical divisors II*, preprint (1997).

[KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Adv. St. Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283–360.

[Me1] M. Mella, *Existence of good divisors on Mukai manifolds*, preprint (1996).

[Me2] ———, *Existence of good divisors on Mukai varieties*, preprint (1997).

[Pro] Yu. G. Prokhorov, *On algebraic threefolds whose hyperplane sections are Enriques surfaces*, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. **186:9** (1995), 1341–1352.

[Re] M. Reid, *Projective morphisms according to Kawamata*, preprint, Univ. of Warwick (1983).

[Sa] T. Sano, *Classification of* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano* d*-folds of index greater than* $d-2$, Nagoya Math. J. **142** (1996), 133–143.

[Shi] K.-H. Shin, 3*-dimensional Fano varieties with canonical singularities*, Tokyo J. Math. **12** (1989), 375–385.

[Sh1] V.V Shokurov, *Smoothness of the general anticanonical divisor of a Fano* 3*-fold*, Math. USSR Izv. **14** (1980), 395–405.

[Sh2] V.V Shokurov, *The Nonvanishing Theorem*, Math. USSR Izv. **26** (1986), 591–604.

[Sh3] V.V Shokurov, 3*-Fold log flips*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40:1** (1993).

Department of Mathematics, The Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore MD 21218, US

E-mail address: `ambro@chow.mat.jhu.edu`